REM Sleep–like Atonia of Hypoglossal (XII) Motoneurons Is Caused by Loss of Noradrenergic and Serotonergic Inputs
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Rationale: Studies of hypoglossal (XII) motoneurons that innervate the genioglossus muscle, an upper airway dilator, suggested that the suppression of upper airway motor tone during REM sleep is caused by withdrawal of excitation mediated by norepinephrine and serotonin.

Objectives: Our objectives were to determine whether antagonism of aminergic receptors located in the XII nucleus region can abolish the REM sleep–like atonia of XII motoneurons, and whether both noradrenergic and serotonergic antagonists are required to achieve this effect.

Methods: REM sleep–like episodes were elicited in anesthetized rats by pontine carbachol injections before and at various times after microinjection of prazosin and methysergide combined, or of only one of the drugs, into the XII nucleus. Measurements and Main Results: Spontaneous XII nerve activity was significantly reduced, by 35 to 81%, by each antagonist alone and in combination, indicating that XII motoneurons were under both noradrenergic and serotonergic endogenous excitatory drives. During the 32 to 81 min after microinjections of both antagonists, pontine carbachol caused no depression of XII nerve activity, whereas other characteristic effects (activation of the hippocampal and cortical EEG, and slowing of the respiratory rate) remained intact. A partial recovery of the depressant effect of carbachol then occurred parallel to the recovery of spontaneous XII nerve activity from the depressant effect of the antagonists. Microinjections of either antagonist alone did not eliminate the depressant effect of carbachol.

Conclusions: The REM sleep–like depression of XII motoneuronal activity induced by pontine carbachol can be fully accounted for by the combined withdrawal of noradrenergic and serotonergic effects on XII motoneurons.
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Sleep-related airway obstructions occur in patients with anatomically compromised upper airway during periods when the tone in airway-dilating muscles becomes insufficient to oppose the negative inspiratory pressure. The severity of obstructions varies with the anatomic conditions and the magnitude and duration of the decrements in upper airway muscle tone. Obstructive episodes are often most severe during rapid eye movement (REM) sleep, when upper airway tone is suppressed parallel to the characteristic atonia of postural muscles. For this reason, extensive efforts have been devoted toward elucidating the mechanisms of REM sleep–related upper airway hypotonia.

Four distinct neurochemical mechanisms were proposed to cause motor depression during REM sleep: two disfacilitatory, resulting from an REM sleep–related withdrawal of motoneuronal excitation mediated by serotonin (5-HT) and norepinephrine (2, 6, 7); and two active, resulting from state-specific inhibition mediated by glycine and/or GABA_A receptors (8, 9). On the basis of those findings, attempts were made to determine whether any one mechanism can explain the REM sleep–related depression of activity in hypoglossal (XII) motoneurons, the source of motor innervation of the genioglossus, a major upper airway dilator. However, infusion of 5-HT into the XII nucleus only partially blunted the REM sleep–like suppression of XII nerve activity elicited in decerebrate cats by pontine carbachol injections (10), and perfusion of the XII nucleus with 5-HT in chronically instrumented rats did not prevent the depression of genioglossal activity during REM sleep (11). Microinjections into the XII nucleus of either strychnine, a glycinergic receptor antagonist, or bicuculline, a GABA_A receptor antagonist, had little effect on the suppression of XII nerve activity elicited by pontine carbachol in decerebrate cats (12); a similar observation was made in chronically instrumented, naturally sleeping rats (13). These results suggested that REM sleep atonia is caused by concurrent actions mediated by more than one neurotransmitter.

We tested whether the simultaneous antagonism of the same four receptor systems can eliminate the REM sleep–like atonia of XII motoneurons (14). For this, we used urethane-anesthetized rats in which REM sleep–like episodes (comprising cortical activation, hippocampal theta rhythm, silencing of pontine noradrenergic neurons, and suppression of XII nerve activity) were elicited by carbachol microinjections into the dorsal pontine tegmentum (15, 16). The combined injections of serotonergic, noradrenergic, GABA_A, and glycineric receptor antagonists into the XII nucleus eliminated the depressant effect of pontine carbachol on XII motoneuronal activity (14). We then determined that strychnine was not needed for this effect (17). The goal of this study was to test whether antagonizing the excitation mediated by just norepinephrine and 5-HT is sufficient to eliminate the depressant effect of pontine carbachol on XII motoneurons, and whether antagonism of both is necessary. A preliminary report has been published (18).

METHODS

Animal Preparation and Monitoring

The Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of Pennsylvania (Philadelphia, PA) approved all procedures.

Eighteen Sprague–Dawley rats were anesthetized with urethane (1 g · kg⁻¹) and intubated, and one femoral artery and vein were cannulated for blood pressure monitoring and fluid injections, respectively. Both vagi were cut, and openings were made in the parietal bone for
inserting a carbachol-containing pipette and hippocampal recording electrode. The caudal medulla was exposed to insert a microinjection pipette into the XII nucleus. The right XII nerve was prepared for recording (19), and the cortical EEG and hippocampal activity were monitored. The animals were paralyzed with pancuronium (2 mg · kg⁻¹, intravenous) and artificially ventilated with 30–60% O₂ in air. The end-expiratory CO₂ was kept constant (mean, 5.6 ± 0.1% [SE]) and rectal temperature was maintained at 36–37°C.

**Drug Solutions and Microinjections**

The solutions injected into the XII nucleus contained either 0.2 mM prazosin or 1.0 mM methysergide, or both drugs, in 0.9% NaCl. For injections, a glass pipette filled with the antagonist(s) was inserted into the right XII nucleus 0.3 mm lateral to the midline and 1.15 mm below the dorsal medullary surface at three locations: 0.5 mm caudal, 0.15 mm rostral, and 0.8 mm rostral to the obex. Three successive 40-nl injections were made over 5.8 ± 0.1 min (SE). The injections initially filled a 0.7-mm-diameter sphere, approximately the coronal diameter of the rat XII nucleus, and delivered the drug(s) along its entire rostrocaudal extent (17, 20). Pontine injections of carbachol (10 nl) were performed with pipettes filled with 10 mM carbachol and 2% Pontamine sky blue dye in 0.9% NaCl, inserted into the predetermined dorsomedial pontine site (16, 21).

**Experimental Protocol and Data Analysis**

Once the control REM sleep–like response to carbachol was established, the antagonist-filled pipette was successively injected at the three locations in the XII nucleus and the injections made. During the subsequent 3 h (approximate time), to observe over time the effects of the antagonists on the XII nerve response to pontine carbachol, carbachol was repeatedly injected at greater than 30-min intervals. Changes in XII nerve activity were measured from the moving average of the signal as the difference between the peak during central inspiration and the expiratory level when no activity was present. The central respiratory rate was derived from XII nerve activity. Latencies and durations of the responses were measured from the onset of the carbachol injection to the start and end of changes in hippocampal activity. In each experiment, the level of XII nerve activity was normalized relative to the level before the antagonist injections.

The pontine injection sites were mapped onto standard cross-sections (22). For statistical analysis, we used analysis of variance (ANOVA) with the Bonferroni correction, and paired or unpaired Student’s t test (SigmaStat; SPSS, Chicago, IL). The variability of the means is characterized by the standard error (SE), and p values refer to paired t tests unless noted otherwise.

**RESULTS**

**REM Sleep–like Effects of Pontine Carbachol on XII Nerve Activity under Control Conditions**

Figure 1A shows the pontine carbachol injection sites for all 18 animals superimposed on the closest standard brain sections. All injections (e.g., Figure 1B) were placed at anteroposterior levels from –8.1 to –9.0 mm caudal to the bregma (mean anteroposterior level, –8.56 ± 0.08 mm; n = 18) according to the brain atlas (22). As described previously, carbachol injections placed at these sites triggered REM sleep–like episodes characterized by activation of the cortical EEG, the appearance of hippocampal theta rhythm (3–5 Hz), suppression of XII nerve activity, and a decreased central respiratory rate (15–17, 21). Responses having similar pattern and timing could be repeatedly produced from the same site by carbachol injections made at 30-min or longer intervals. Figure 2 shows an example of two responses to pontine carbachol, one elicited before methysergide microinjections into the XII nucleus and one about 1.5 h after the antagonist had been injected. The responses were highly reproducible in terms of the respiratory rate changes, the time course and pattern of the hippocampal theta-like rhythm, and the increased power of the cortical EEG in the 6- to 12-Hz range. We also determined previously that pontine noradrenergic cells are silenced during these responses, just as they are during natural REM sleep (16, 23).

**Effects of Aminergic Antagonists on Spontaneous XII Nerve Activity**

Six rats received combined injections of prazosin and methysergide at the three sites in the XII nucleus, as described in Methods. At each level, the injections were aimed at the center of the nucleus (see Figure 1B in Fenik and coworkers [14] and Figure 1B in Fenik and coworkers [17]). The injections elicited a gradual decrease in XII nerve activity that developed over about 20 min and was not accompanied by changes in respiratory rate or arterial blood pressure. Figure 3A shows the average time course of the effect of combined microinjections of prazosin and methysergide on spontaneous XII nerve activity. A depression greater than 90% of maximum was present 15 to 90 min after the antagonists. The maximum occurred at about 60 min, at which time activity was depressed to 30.8 ± 2.7% of the control level. XII nerve activity was depressed by the antagonists to a level similar to that attained during the preantagonist responses to pontine carbachol (24.9 ± 3.6% of the control level, p = 0.14; n = 6). Subsequently, the activity gradually recovered and, 180 min after antagonist administration, reached 65.3 ± 11% of the preantagonist level (not significantly different from control, p = 0.06).
Two responses to pontine carbachol elicited about 150 min apart in one experiment demonstrate long-term stability of hippocampal and cortical activations and respiratory rate slowing in response to pontine carbachol. In both records, 10 nl of carbachol was injected at the marker. Traces from the top are as follows: power of the hippocampal (Hipp) signal in the 3- to 5-Hz range, raw hippocampal recording, power of the cortical EEG in the 6- to 12-Hz range, raw cortical EEG, moving average (MA) of XII nerve activity (at this compressed time scale, the amplitude of the record represents the peak inspiratory activity in successive respiratory cycles), and the instantaneous central respiratory rate during successive 10-s intervals. The response in A was elicited before methysergide injections and the response in B was recorded about 150 min later and after methysergide injections into the XII nucleus. Note the similarity between the two responses except that the starting magnitude of XII nerve activity is different because of the methysergide actions. Two additional responses to pontine carbachol were elicited in this experiment between the two shown in A and B.

In another six rats, three injections of prazosin only were made into the XII nucleus. These injections also caused a gradual decrease in spontaneous XII nerve activity (Figure 3B). A depression greater than 90% of the maximum was present 20 to 90 min after the injections, with the maximum also at about 60 min, at which time the activity was depressed to 19.1 ± 3.6% of the control level. At the time of maximal depression, the level of XII nerve activity tended to be lower than during the preprazosin response to pontine carbachol in this group of animals (26.1 ± 4.9%; p = 0.17). The depression caused by prazosin alone was also greater than that following the combined injections of prazosin and methysergide (19.1 ± 3.6 versus 30.8 ± 2.7%; p < 0.05, unpaired t test). Subsequently, the activity gradually increased and, 180 min after administration of the antagonists, reached 48.4 ± 7.7% of the control level, still significantly below the preantagonist level (p < 0.01).

In a third group of six rats, three injections of methysergide only were made into the XII nucleus. These injections caused a biphasic change in spontaneous XII nerve activity: first a fast decrease and then an increase at a rate faster than that during the recovery from either combined methysergide and prazosin
or prazosin-only injections (Figure 3C). During the initial phase, XII nerve activity was reduced to a minimum of 65.1 ± 6.6% of control (p < 0.01) within 20 min of the injections. This level of activity was significantly higher than the lowest points after either combined antagonist or prazosin-only injections (p < 0.001 for both, unpaired t tests). During the subsequent 40 min, an increase to 89.2 ± 5.7% of control occurred, which was still significantly below the premethysergide level (p < 0.01). After this time, XII nerve activity declined slightly and remained steady over the next 2 h. At 180 min after methysergide administration, XII nerve activity was at 73.7 ± 7.4% of its premethysergide level (p < 0.01).

Effect of Combined Injections of Prazosin and Methysergide on Carbachol-induced Depression of XII Nerve Activity

In the group of six rats receiving combined microinjections of prazosin and methysergide, the preantagonist responses to carbachol had a mean latency and duration of 62.5 ± 26 and 185 ± 13 seconds, respectively. During the responses, XII nerve activity was depressed to 24.9 ± 3.6% of the precarbachol value (p < 0.001), and the respiratory rate decreased from 44.2 ± 2.1 to 34.5 ± 3.5 min⁻¹ (p < 0.01). Figure 4 shows examples of responses to pontine carbachol elicited before, and at two different times after, antagonist administration. Individual and average decreases in XII nerve activity and respiratory rate after carbachol administration, both before and at different times after the combined injections of prazosin and methysergide, are shown in Figure 5.

The first postantagonist tests with pontine carbachol were conducted 5–10 min after antagonist injections. As in our previous study with microinjections of three or more distinct antagonists into the XII nucleus (14, 17), XII nerve activity was significantly suppressed by pontine carbachol at this time. For simplicity, the results of these tests are not reported.

During carbachol injections made 32–81 min (mean, 49.2 ± 6.9 min) after the combined prazosin and methysergide injections, carbachol did not depress XII nerve activity. These responses had a mean latency of 58.7 ± 17 s and a duration of 211 ± 17 s (not different from the control values in this group: p = 0.88 and p = 0.06, respectively). The respiratory rate decreased from 44.6 ± 2.0 to 35.7 ± 2.9 min⁻¹ (p < 0.01) (Figure 5B), also not different from the responses elicited before antagonist administration (F₁,₁₅ = 1.83, p = 0.23, two-way repeated measures ANOVA). During these responses, characteristic hippocampal and corticospinal activations occurred (cf. Figure 2). However, XII nerve activity, reduced at this time by the antagonists (Figure 3A), was not altered in association with the response to carbachol. Figure 4B shows one example of such a response. The mean XII nerve activity was 27.0 ± 2.7% of control before and 25.2 ± 4.1% at the peak of the responses to pontine carbachol (p = 0.4) (Figure 5A). This absence of depression of XII nerve activity was significantly different from the depressant effect of carbachol before antagonist administration (F₁,₁₅ = 56, p < 0.001, two-way repeated measures ANOVA).

Another series of tests with carbachol was performed 135–199 min (mean, 172 ± 12 min) after antagonist administration. At this time, the depressant effect of pontine carbachol on XII nerve activity partially recovered (Figures 4C and 5A). The activity was suppressed from 51.8 ± 8.0 to 20.8 ± 3.3% of the preantagonist control (p < 0.01). In contrast to the previous set of responses to carbachol, the depression was significant (F₁,₁₅ = 23, p < 0.01, two-way repeated measures ANOVA), but also significantly weaker than before antagonist administration (F₁,₁₅ = 43, p < 0.01, two-way repeated measures ANOVA). The latencies and durations of these responses were not different from those before antagonist administration, 87.5 ± 45 s (p = 0.27) and 195 ± 24 s (p = 0.57), respectively, and the respiratory rate decreased from 44.6 ± 1.8 to 37.6 ± 2.8 min⁻¹ (p < 0.01) (Figure 5B). The latter was slightly less than during previous carbachol responses (F₁,₁₅ = 6.7, p < 0.05, two-way repeated measures ANOVA), but not different from the control responses before antagonist administration (F₁,₁₅ = 4.9, p = 0.08, two-way repeated measures ANOVA).
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**Figure 4.** Combined microinjections of prazosin and methysergide into the XII nucleus eliminate the REM sleep-like depression of XII nerve activity. In each panel, the top trace shows hippocampal activity (Hipp) and the bottom trace shows the moving average (MA) of XII nerve activity, both recorded at the same gains in all three panels. Each peak in the XII nerve MA represents one compressed inspiratory burst. In each record, 10-nl carbachol injections were made at the markers. (A) The preantagonist response to pontine carbachol, during which XII nerve activity is profoundly depressed and the respiratory rate is reduced from 52.5 to 47.5 min⁻¹. (B) A response to carbachol elicited 42 min after antagonists were injected into the XII nucleus; at this time, the precarbachol level of XII nerve activity was reduced to a level similar to that observed at the time of maximal response to carbachol before antagonist administration (A), and no further depression occurred after carbachol injection. The characteristic hippocampal activation and slowing of the respiratory rate from 52.5 to 46.7 min⁻¹ indicate that carbachol was effective but did not depress XII nerve activity. (C) By 137 minutes after administration of the antagonists, the precarbachol level of XII nerve activity increased compared with that in B, and XII nerve activity was visibly depressed after pontine carbachol injection, both indicating a partial recovery from the effect of the antagonists.
Effect of Prazosin Only on Carbachol-induced Depression of XII Nerve Activity

In the six rats with injections only of prazosin into the XII nucleus, the preprazosin responses to carbachol had a mean latency and duration of 56.3 ± 7.5 and 203 ± 14 s, respectively; XII nerve activity was depressed to 26.1 ± 4.9% of the control (p < 0.001); and the respiratory rate decreased from 49.1 ± 2.3 to 36.9 ± 3.0 min⁻¹ (p < 0.01). The individual and average XII nerve and respiratory rate responses to carbachol before and after prazosin microinjection are shown in Figure 6.

Carbachol injected 40–85 min (mean, 50.7 ± 7.0 min) after prazosin elicited responses with a mean latency of 76.0 ± 25 s and a duration of 216 ± 7.7 s (not different from the control values in this group: p = 0.34 and p = 0.37, respectively). Responses included the characteristic hippocampal and cortical activations, and the respiratory rate decreased from 49.5 ± 2.5 to 35.8 ± 2.8 min⁻¹ (p < 0.01) (Figure 6B); not different from the responses elicited before prazosin (F₁,₁₅ = 1.46, p = 0.28, two-way repeated measures ANOVA). Despite the fact that the XII nerve activity was reduced by prazosin more than by the combined injections of prazosin and methysergide, XII nerve activity was significantly lower than that during the preantagonist control (Figure 6A). The average level of XII nerve activity during these responses to carbachol was 14.8 ± 2.7 versus 26.1 ± 4.9%, p < 0.01).

Subsequent to carbachol injections performed 142–195 min (mean, 171 ± 7.2 min) after prazosin administration, XII nerve activity was suppressed from 47.3 ± 6.2 to 17.7 ± 3.8% of the control (p < 0.001) (Figure 6A). This suppression was significantly stronger than that during the preceding tests (F₁,₁₅ = 75, p < 0.001, two-way repeated measures ANOVA), but also significantly weaker than the effect of carbachol before prazosin (F₁,₁₅ = 43, p < 0.01, two-way repeated measures ANOVA). The latencies and durations of these responses were not different from those before prazosin, 77.0 ± 23 s (p = 0.28) and 247 ± 32 s.
(p = 0.26), respectively. The respiratory rate decreased from 51.5 ± 2.2 to 37.7 ± 2.9 min⁻¹ (p < 0.05) (Figure 6B); not different from that during the preprazosin responses to carbachol (F₁,₅ = 0.47, p = 0.52, two-way repeated measures ANOVA).

**Effect of Methysergide Only on Carbachol-induced Depression of XII Nerve Activity**

In these six rats, the control response to carbachol had a mean latency and duration of 75.0 ± 23 and 185 ± 15 s, respectively. XII nerve activity was depressed to 27.2 ± 4.9% of control (p < 0.001), and the respiratory rate decreased from 48.6 ± 2.3 to 40.2 ± 3.2 min⁻¹ (p < 0.01). These control carbachol responses were not different from those of the two other animal groups (F₁,₁₅ = 0.21, p = 0.81 and F₂,₅ = 0.056, p = 0.58 for latency and duration, respectively [one-way ANOVA]; F₁,₁₂₅ = 0.07, p = 0.94 and F₁,₁₂₅ = 0.77, p = 0.48 for the depression of XII activity and respiratory rate, respectively [two-way repeated measures ANOVA]). The average XII nerve and respiratory rate responses to carbachol before, and at different times after, methysergide injections are shown in Figures 7A and 7B.

Carbachol injected 40–47 min (mean, 43.0 ± 1.0 min) after the methysergide injections elicited responses with a mean latency of 98.0 s ± 35 and a duration of 230 s ± 33 (not different from the control values in this group; p = 0.14 for both). The responses included the characteristic hippocampal and cortical activations, and XII nerve activity was significantly depressed from 85.8% ± 7.7 of the control to 37.6% ± 6.9 (p < 0.001) (Figure 7A). The minimum level of XII nerve activity after carbachol administration was significantly higher than during the control responses (37.6 ± 6.9 versus 27.2 ± 4.9%, p < 0.05). The respiratory rate decreased from 49.5 ± 2.5 to 41.2 ± 4.1 min⁻¹ (p < 0.05) (Figure 7B), not different from the responses elicited before methysergide (F₁,₁₂₅ = 0.0008, p = 0.98, two-way repeated measures ANOVA).

In the tests performed 132–187 min (mean, 160 ± 8.7 min) after methysergide administration, XII nerve activity was suppressed by carbachol from 77.7 ± 6.4% of the control level to 26.5 ± 5.7% (p < 0.001) (Figure 7A). The latencies and durations of these responses were not different from those before methysergide administration, 108 ± 46 s (p = 0.25) and 257 ± 51 s (p = 0.15), respectively. The respiratory rate decreased in five animals and did not change in one animal, with the average decrease from 50.2 ± 2.9 to 41.9 ± 3.6 min⁻¹ (n = 6, p = 0.07) (Figure 7B).

**Effects of Antagonists and Carbachol on Arterial Blood Pressure**

Neither the antagonists nor the carbachol injections, nor the time elapsed between the start and end of each experiment, was associated with major changes in arterial blood pressure. Overall, blood pressure tended to increase with time, and most responses to carbachol were associated with small blood pressure increases when measured during the response maximum. Figure 7C shows a typical data set for systolic blood pressure in the series of animals receiving methysergide injections.

For the combined data from the three series of studies (n = 18 rats), the time-dependent increase in systolic blood pressure measured before carbachol injections was from 80 ± 3 mm Hg at the beginning of the study to 82 ± 4 mm Hg 32–85 min after administration of the antagonists, and to 90 ± 6 mm Hg 132–199 min after administration of the antagonists, with the difference between the beginning and the end of the study approaching statistical significance (p = 0.06, paired t test, n = 18). This trend was likely to be related to a decreasing level of anesthesia despite additional doses of urethane administered between the tests with carbachol to suppress any signs of spontaneous cortical or hippocampal activation (see the online supplement).

The carbachol-induced blood pressure increases were significant in four of the nine data sets (in the control and first postantagonist carbachol test in animals with prazosin injections, and in the two postantagonist tests in rats with combined methysergide and prazosin injections). For all three antagonist conditions combined, blood pressure increases that followed each carbachol injection were 5.8 ± 1.2 mm Hg for the responses before antagonist...
administration, 5.9 ± 1.5 mm Hg for the responses elicited 32–85 minutes after antagonist administration, and 3.0 ± 1.2 mm Hg for those elicited 132–199 min after antagonist administration (n = 18 for each). These increases were statistically significant (p < 0.05 each, paired t tests) and not significantly different among the responses to carbachol elicited at the successive stages of the experiments.

DISCUSSION

Our main finding is that combined microinjections into the XII nucleus of antagonists of α-adrenergic and serotonergic receptors are necessary and sufficient to eliminate the REM sleep–like depression of XII motoneuronal activity elicited by pontine carbachol injections. The effect was reversible and selective in that the carbachol-induced depression of XII nerve activity was abolished, whereas other REM sleep–like changes, such as the cortical and hippocampal activations and slowing of the respiratory rate, remained intact. The most parsimonious explanation of this result is that the adrenergic and serotonergic receptor antagonists injected into the XII nucleus removed aminergic excitation from XII motoneurons. By doing so, they preempted the ability of pontine carbachol to exert its effects because they acted through the same disfacilitatory mechanism that would otherwise occur during the REM sleep–like depression of XII motoneuronal activity. Accordingly, we propose that, at least in the carbachol model used, a combined withdrawal from motoneurons of adrenergic and serotonergic effects is the main cause of the REM sleep–like suppression of their activity.

Urethane-anesthetized Rat as a Model of Neural Phenomena of REM Sleep

Pontine carbachol injections have been extensively used to study REM sleep (15, 24). As this and other studies show, 5- to 20- nl carbachol injections into a discrete site within the dorsal pontine tegmentum of urethane-anesthetized rats can repeatedly activate neural events similar to those characterizing natural REM sleep (15, 16, 21). The effects of carbachol last 3 to 4 min and include activation of the cortical EEG, appearance of rhythmic theta-like activity in the hippocampus, silencing of pontine noradrenergic neurons, and a profound suppression of XII nerve activity (15, 16). The site from which these changes are elicited most readily is analogous to that identified in cats as most effective for triggering an REM sleep–like state (25, 26). Thus, the model used in this study has important features similar to the neural events during natural REM sleep. Although this is a reduced model, and additional mechanisms may influence the activity of XII motoneurons during REM sleep in behaving animals, it is noteworthy that the mechanisms of upper airway motor control during REM sleep delineated with carbachol models (2, 10, 12, 27) were subsequently found to function in a similar way during natural REM sleep in behaving animals (11, 13).

Effect of Noradrenergic and Serotonergic Antagonists on Spontaneous XII Nerve Activity

Injections of either prazosin or methysergide into the XII nucleus reduced XII nerve activity. Prazosin is a relatively specific antagonist of the excitatory adrenergic α1 receptors (28). Therefore, the reduction of XII nerve activity after its microinjection into the XII nucleus demonstrates that there is an endogenous adrenergic excitatory action on XII motoneurons in urethane-anesthetized rats. This was not previously reported in vivo, but was suggested by studies showing that brainstem noradrenergic neurons become silent during REM sleep and the carbachol-induced REM sleep–like state (15, 16, 23, 29, 30), and that the primary effect of norepinephrine and phenylephrine on XII motoneurons is excitation (31, 32). Accordingly, microinjections of prazosin into the XII nucleus may be interpreted as mimicking the withdrawal of noradrenergic excitation to XII motoneurons that occurs during REM sleep.

In contrast to the paucity of studies with adrenergic antagonists, the effects of serotonergic antagonists on spontaneous activity of XII motoneurons have been investigated relatively extensively. In decerebrate cats (10, 27), behaving dogs and rats (11, 33, 34), and urethane-anesthetized rats (35), methysergide and various 5-HT2 receptor antagonists reduced XII nerve activity and that of XII nerve–innervated muscles. Consistent with earlier reports, our microinjections initially depressed XII nerve activity by about 35%. As with noradrenergic neurons, brainstem 5-HT–containing neurons are silenced during the atonia of REM sleep (36–38). Therefore, methysergide microinjections into the XII nucleus may mimic the effects of the withdrawal of serotonergic excitation from XII motoneurons that is likely to occur during REM sleep.

Rationale for Composition of the Antagonist Mix

Our study design was based on evidence that aminergic excitation of XII motoneurons is mediated mainly by α1-adrenergic and 5-HT1 receptors (32, 35, 39–42). These receptors are effectively blocked in vivo by prazosin and methysergide, respectively. Although the main aminergic receptor subtypes mediating excitation of XII motoneurons have been further identified as α1n adrenergic and 5-HT1a (39–42), the in vivo actions of drugs specific for these subtypes are less well established than for the classical, broad-spectrum α-adrenergic and serotonergic receptor antagonists, such as prazosin and methysergide. For this reason, and for consistency with our earlier studies (14, 17), we used these two antagonists.

We injected the antagonists directly into the XII nucleus, rather than systemically, in a manner that ensured their relatively uniform spread throughout the entire rostrocaudal extent of the nucleus. With this mode of drug administration, the initial depression of XII nerve activity caused by methysergide was followed by a period during which the depression relatively rapidly diminished, suggesting that the drug diffused into an area from which it exerted effects on XII motoneurons opposite to those produced within the XII nucleus. The model of diffusion with which we designed and interpreted our study predicts that 20 min after the injection, that is, when this secondary effect of methysergide appeared, the drug could spread about 1 mm from the center of the XII nucleus (17, 20). Thus, it could act on receptors in the vicinity of the XII nucleus whose antagonism results in an increase in XII motoneuronal activity. Such a hypothetical excitatory action of methysergide may explain why the maximal suppression of spontaneous XII nerve activity produced by combined microinjections of methysergide and prazosin tended to be smaller than that with prazosin only (Figure 3). We also cannot rule out that this secondary effect of methysergide played a role in the abolition of the carbachol-induced suppression of XII nerve activity. However, because the depressant effect of pontine carbachol on XII nerve activity was not abolished by either antagonist alone, this secondary effect of methysergide is unlikely to have played a decisive role. It is also noteworthy that the full abolition of the effect of carbachol did not occur under the conditions when XII nerve activity was most suppressed (by prazosin), but when both serotonergic and adrenergic receptors were simultaneously antagonized. This argues against the possibility that the elimination of the depressant effect of pontine carbachol on XII nerve activity was a nonspecific consequence of the reduced level of XII nerve activity after administration of the antagonists.
Previous Attempts to Define Mechanisms of REM Sleep–related Depression of Motoneuronal Activity

In addition to the withdrawal of aminergic excitation and active inhibition as mechanisms by which XII motoneuronal activity can be reduced during REM sleep, one can also consider disfacilitatory effects mediated by excitatory peptides such as thyrotropin-releasing hormone or substance P, as both are present in medullary 5-HT–containing neurons (43, 44). Although the role of these peptides in the mechanisms of REM sleep has not been studied and our data show that they do not play a role in the atonia produced by carbachol in the model used, considerable attention was given to the effects caused by postsynaptic inhibition present in XII and other motoneurons during the atonia of REM sleep (45–48).

To test the role of either disfacilitatory or inhibitory mechanisms, serotonergic agonists or antagonists were injected into the XII nucleus (10, 11, 27) and glycinergic or GABAA receptor–mediated effects were antagonized (12, 13, 49). All studies indicated that the REM sleep–like motoneuronal depression was not mediated by any single receptor system. In contrast, we found, using the same experimental design as in the present study, that combined antagonism of aminergic excitation and amino acid–mediated inhibition by prazosin, methysergide, and biccuculline, with or without strychnine, eliminates the depressant effect of pontine carbachol on XII motoneurons (14, 17). These results provided, for the first time, proof of the concept that the REM sleep–like depression of XII motoneuronal activity can be fully accounted for by the combined actions mediated by at least four major types of neurotransmitter receptor. However, we did not test whether all antagonists used were necessary. In the present study, we demonstrate that, at least in the carbachol model, the disfacilitatory actions resulting from the combined withdrawal of noradrenergic and serotonergic influences are both necessary and sufficient.

Time Course of the Abolition of REM Sleep–like Depression of XII Nerve Activity by Antagonists

The volumes were adequate to deliver the antagonists throughout the entire XII nucleus, but, as in our previous studies (14, 17), the depression of XII nerve activity was still significant during tests performed within the first 5 to 10 min after the injections. As discussed elsewhere, when the depressant effect of pontine carbachol was eliminated 30 to 80 min after the antagonists, the drugs had spread 0.9–1.4 mm from the center of the XII nucleus (17). The time course of the effects of methysergide on spontaneous XII nerve activity (discussed previously) also suggests that diffusion of drugs beyond the XII nucleus occurred. This is not surprising; some diffusion beyond the XII nucleus was expected and desired to ensure that the antagonists spread far enough to reach all dendrites of XII motoneurons, some of which extend outside the XII nucleus and have 5-HT2A and adrenergic receptors (40, 50, 51). However, the diffusion must have been relatively limited because the antagonists did not cause changes in the respiratory rate, the magnitude of the decrease in respiratory rate after pontine carbachol administration, or arterial blood pressure. It is also noteworthy that the depressant effect of carbachol was eliminated when tested 30 to 80 min after antagonist administration, but was present both 5 to 10 min afterward, and 2 to 3 h afterward. This is compatible with a genuine antagonistic action of the drugs during their gradual diffusion and washout.

Future Studies and Implications for Pharmacotherapy for Obstructive Sleep Apnea Syndrome

Our finding that antagonism of just two aminergic receptor systems is sufficient to abolish REM sleep–like depressant effects in XII motoneurons narrows the range of neurotransmitter receptors that also may be important for mediating upper airway hypotonia during REM sleep. However, caution is needed because our results were obtained in a reduced model of REM sleep. In addition, even in this model, by varying the doses and placement of the antagonists and assessing the timing of their effect on the REM sleep–like atonia, one can further delineate the region that needs to be affected. Also, by using antagonists specific for the receptor subtypes likely to be most important in mediating the endogenous aminergic excitatory drive in XII motoneurons (35, 39–42, 52), one can further refine the underlying pharmacology.

An improved understanding of the neurochemical mechanisms of REM sleep–related hypotonia of upper airway muscles may offer new opportunities for pharmacologic treatments of the obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. However, a transition from local manipulations with aminergic transmission in an experimental setting to an effective treatment by systemic drug administration will pose problems because the same receptors that are involved in motoneuronal control across the sleep–wake states are also involved in many other functions, including sleep. For example, systemic administration of aminergic agonists or reuptake blockers to enhance upper airway motor tone is likely to suppress sleep (53, 54). This and other negative side effects will limit the doses of drugs that otherwise could be effective, and may be one reason for the unsatisfactory outcomes of attempts to treat obstructive sleep apnea with drugs targeting aminergic transmission (55, 56). An improved understanding of the receptor subtypes involved in state-dependent control of upper airway motoneurons may help establish more effective treatments. Eventually, a combination therapy with low doses of drugs that elevate central norepinephrine and 5-HT levels, stimulate or sensitize appropriate receptors, and improve sleep continuity may prove useful.
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